



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/781,038	02/09/2001	Jeff Nodorft	0-11A	1599
34431	7590	10/21/2005	EXAMINER	
HANLEY, FLIGHT & ZIMMERMAN, LLC 20 N. WACKER DRIVE SUITE 4220 CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to applicant's appeal brief filed on July 28, 2005.
2. NOTIFICATION OF NON-COMPLIANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF 37 CFR 41.37(c)

3. The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all the claims, e.g., rejected, allowed or confirmed, withdrawn, objected to, or canceled, and identification of the claims being appealed as required by 37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii).

The current statement of the claims fails to show the status for claims 2,6 and 51 as cancelled; and claims 14,16-18,39,43-45, and 57-60 as withdrawn.

4. At the Summary of the Claimed Subject Matter section, the applicant incorporate language that has no relation with what is claimed. Paragraphs 1-4 of this section should be deleted since this section is only used to give a summary of what is claimed in the claims, not for what is intend to be used as required by 37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v).
5. Each ground of rejection must be treated under a separate heading. For each ground of rejection applying to two or more claims, the claims may be argued separately or as a group. Any claim argued separately should be placed under a subheading identifying the claim by number. A statement, which merely points out what a claim recites, will not be considered an argument for separate patentability of the claim. See 37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii). At the instant, the applicant fails to provide a subheading for each claim that is arguing separately.
6. Also, the brief present the following informality:

The copy of the appealed claims in the appendix submitted by the applicant is defective because the appendix should only contain a copy of the claims involved in the appeal. Claims 2,614,51, and 57-60 should not be part of the copy. As to claims 16-18,39, and 43-45, these claims were withdrawn from consideration before, therefore, these claims are not involved in the appeal.

7. Appellant is required to comply with provisions of 37 CFR 41.37(c). To avoid dismissal of the appeal, Appellant must comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 41.37(c) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS, whichever is longer, from the mailing of this communication. Extensions of time may be granted under 37 CFR 1.136.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos Lugo whose telephone number 571-272-7058. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-6pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Glessner can be reached on 571-272-6843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-7049.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-5771.

C. L.

Carlos Lugo
AU 3676

October 11, 2005



BRIAN E. GLESSNER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER